

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,119	11/18/2003	Kiyohito Mukai	L8462.03118	2755
24257	7590 04/18/2006		EXAM	INER
STEVENS DAVIS MILLER & MOSHER, LLP			DOAN, NGHIA M	
1615 L STRE SUITE 850	EEI, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20036		2825	•

DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>'#</u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/715,119	MUKAI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nghia M. Doan	2825	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	8 November 2003.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo			ts is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			,
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 March 2006</u> is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ol	ojected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum 	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		n received in this National Stage	;
application from the International But		at received	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB 	· —	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/715,119 Page 2

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

1. Responsive to communication Election/ Restriction for application 10/715,119 filed on 11/18/2005 and Applicants amended filed on 03/06/2006 claims 1-2 are pending.

Claims 3-17 have been canceled.

Claim 1 has been amended.

- 2. The new drawings are accepted.
- 3. Applicant's arguments based on amended claim 1 has been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

- 4. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim language is not consistent to the applicant specification. In the Remark filed on 03/06/2006, Applicants indicate that claim 1 is supported by paragraph [0092], page 22, lines 8-15 in the application's specification, which disclose the ratio between the total area of contact holes in said wires and the total area the layout said wire, but the limitation of claim 1 does not disclose any relating to the area of contact holes and the layout of wires. Therefore, claim 1 must be revised in appropriate to the application's specification.
- 5. Moreover, claim 1 recited, "the ratio", "the layout of the contact holes" and "the layout of wires", there are insufficient antecedent basic for these limitations in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,119 Page 3

Art Unit: 2825

Claim interpreted

6. Claim 1 has been amend the word "ratio", which ordinary means the relationship in quality, amount, or size between two or more things.

7. Furthermore, the application specification is not clearly described what is mean of the "contact holes". Therefore, examiner interprets as broadly reasonable for the mean of term "contact holes" as "contact units", "vias", "via units", "via cells", "via holes", or "through-holes".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kato (US 6,732,345).
- 10. With respective to claim 1, Kato discloses a semiconductor device layout inspection method for inspecting formation defects that will occur in wires of a chip layout (col. 2, II. 20-29), wherein the wire formation defects are detected by checking the ratio between the layout of the contact holes (*via/via cell*) in said wires and the layout of said wires (col. 41, II. 41-65, col. 3, II. 6-38 and II. 55-67, col.4, II. 50-63, and col. 5, II. 35-47).

11. With respective to claim 2, Kato discloses the semiconductor device layout inspection method according to Claim 1, wherein the layout of wires where wire formation defects have been detected is corrected (col. 1, II. 66-67 and col. 2, II. 1-3, col. 4, II. 64-67, and col. 5, II. 48-50).

Page 4

- 12. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Umemura et al. (Umemura) (US 6,884,637).
- 13. With respective to claim 1, Umemura discloses a semiconductor device layout inspection method for inspecting formation defects that will occur in wires of a chip layout (the Abstract, col. 2, II. 16-67, col. 3, II. 1-62, and col. 35, II. 61-66), wherein the wire formation defects are detected by checking the ratio between the layout of the contact holes (col. 6, II. 34-40) in said wires and the layout of said wires (col. 7, II. 35-54 and col. 8, II. 53-65; col. 12, II. 45-67 and col. 13, II. 1-16; col. 35, II. 61-66, col. 36, II. 28-59).

Conclusion

14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2825

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghia M. Doan whose telephone number is 571-272-5973. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on 571-272-7483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Technology Center 2890

Nghia M. Doan Patent Examiner AU 2825 NMD